

Advancements in Cross-platform Capacity Planning

Whether it's about maximizing throughput, minimizing response time, maximizing availability, or minimizing costs, a thorough capacity planning exercise requires the evaluation of alternative configurations. A change in configuration might be as simple as moving a heavily used file to a less active disk controller or adding a CPU. A good capacity planning tool makes it quick and easy to evaluate such alternatives.

One configuration alternative that deserves consideration is a change in processor architecture. TeamQuest's capacity planning tool, TeamQuest Model, has been enhanced to simplify predicting the affect on performance of using different processor types.

This paper shows how new capabilities in TeamQuest Model streamline the process of predicting the effect of cross-platform migrations, making it easy for you to evaluate alternative vendor configurations.

About the Author

David Burgart, Principal Engineer, has been with TeamQuest since its inception in 1991. Mr. Burgart specializes in TeamQuest's flagship capacity modeling technology and is one of the primary architects for the capabilities described in this paper.



TeamQuest Model is a capacity planning tool used to quickly evaluate alternative configurations in various situations:

- Planning a server consolidation project
- Budgeting for IT infrastructure needed to handle future workloads
- Searching for configurations that will reduce power or cooling requirements
- Predicting the affects of a new application rollout on production systems
- Creating a cost-effective disaster plan

TeamQuest Model is predictive software that accounts for important non-linear behavior that is missed by simpler trend analysis capacity planning techniques. It can perform not only discrete event simulation, but also analytic modeling, which is simpler and less time-consuming, yet capable of producing very accurate results.

When conducting a capacity planning exercise for situations such as those listed above, it is oftentimes necessary to evaluate and predict how a workload will perform after it has been migrated to new server configurations. TeamQuest Model has always been used for such cross-platform capacity planning, taking into account changes in I/O and CPU configuration; however, determining the relative power of different processor architectures was an exercise left to the user. TeamQuest Model simply supplied silos of CPU definitions by vendor, now called the “By Vendor Scale.”

Now TeamQuest Model provides relative CPU performance measures that work across vendor platforms. Available right out of the box and based on the SPEC 2006 benchmark, TeamQuest Model’s new “Common Scale” compares and normalizes performance across vendor CPUs, and the user interface makes it easy to incorporate them into its predictions.

If, for example, you are planning to consolidate workloads, you can easily evaluate options by modeling your consolidated workloads on alternative platforms using the Common Scale. These cross-platform predictions are quick and easy and no longer require you to research relative performance measures.

Step-by-step example

In this example, we will show how to predict performance when moving an entire systems workload from one architecture to another. (If you watch the **Vidcast**, we show a second example where just one application is moved from one architecture to another.)

TeamQuest Model - [Model Description: LinuxSystem.mdl]				
File Edit Calibrate Modify What-if Predict Window Help				
Model Title: Demonstration Model				
Frame Name: Change to Sun SPARC System				
Systems		Active Resources		W
User Notes		AR/WL Matrix		
	Physical System Name	System Type	System Model	Logical System Name
1	Jupiter	LINUX_SERIES	Linux 2.6.16.21-0.8-smp	

Starting from a Linux System Called Jupiter

The window on the left shows a Linux system called Jupiter. What if we had a need to predict the performance of the same workloads on a particular Solaris SPARC system instead? The new Common Scale within TeamQuest Model makes such a model change much simpler.

TeamQuest Model - [Model Description: LinuxSystem.mdl]				
File Edit Calibrate Modify What-if Predict Window Help				
Model Title: Demonstration Model				
Frame Name: Change to Sun SPARC System				
Systems		Active Resources		Workloads
User Notes		AR/WL Matrix		Steps
	System Name	Workload	Type	Measured Throughput
1	Jupiter	manufacturing	OPEN	2.5
2	Jupiter	development	OPEN	3.43
3	Jupiter	administration	OPEN	1.7
4	Jupiter	test	OPEN	0.73

Jupiter Runs Four Workloads


By pressing the Workloads button, we can see that this system is running four workloads:

1. manufacturing
2. development
3. administration
4. test

TeamQuest Model - [Model Description: LinuxSystem.mdl]				
File Edit Calibrate Modify What-if Predict Window Help				
Model Title: Demonstration Model				
Frame Name: Change to Sun SPARC System				
Systems		Active Resources		Workloads
User Notes		AR/WL Matrix		Steps
	System Name	Active Resource	Equipment Name	Equipment Type
1	Jupiter	CPU	Intel Xeon 3040 1.87GHz	CPU
2	Jupiter	fiberchannel	Fibre-Channel	Controller
3	Jupiter	disk	ST3681A	Disk Unit
4	Jupiter	THINK	THINK Queue	
5	Jupiter	DELAY	DELAY Queue	

Jupiter Has an Intel Xeon 3040 CPU

By pressing the Active Resources button, we can see that we are currently running on an Intel Xeon 3040 CPU.



Solving the model to check on the beginning state for resource utilization we see this situation.


Jupiter Has Almost 90% CPU Utilization

TeamQuest Model - [Model Reports: LinuxSystem.mdl]							
File Edit Calibrate Modify What-if Predict Window Help							
Model Title: Demonstration Model							
Frame Name: Change to Sun SPARC System							
Step Name: Baseline						Solved at: 13:58:04.00 2008/07/...	
Principal Results		AR Statistics			PR Statistics		
WL by AR Statistics		WL by PR Statistics		Measured vs Modeled			
	System Name	Workload	Throughput	Response	Population	Wait for Passive R	Occupy Passive R
1	Jupiter	manufacturing	2.5	0.57754	78.002	0.	0.
2	Jupiter	development	3.43	1.9642	111.775	0.	0.
3	Jupiter	administration	1.7	0.004339	103.027	0.	0.
4	Jupiter	test	0.73	0.17106	22.48	0.	0.

And response time for the development workload it is 1.96 seconds.


Development Response Time is 1.96 Seconds

Now let's predict what performance will be like on a different configuration. To change the model description for a new system type, you select "Change to a Different System Type" under the "What-if" menu. This will cause the Change System Series Type dialog to be displayed.



In this example, we choose a Solaris system type and a V890 Sun Fire Server. TeamQuestModelpreloads the CPU description with one chip, two cores per chip, and two threads per core, but we will add a second CPU, changing the number of chips to two. Notice the ease with which you can switch from a single threaded CPU to a multithreaded CPU. TeamQuest Model takes the nuances of multithreading into account when making such a switch.

Change System to Solaris on a V890 with Two CPUs



After re-solving the model, here are the results.

We Now Have Respectable CPU Headroom (55% Utilization)

TeamQuest Model - [Model Reports: LinuxSystem.mdl]							
File Edit Calibrate Modify What-if Predict Window Help							
Model Title: Demonstration Model							
Frame Name: Change to Sun SPARC System							
Step Name: Baseline						Solved at: 14:03:53.00 2008	
Principal Results			AR Statistics			PR Statistics	
WL by AR Statistics			WL by PR Statistics			Measured vs Modele	
	System Name	Workload	Throughput	Response	Population	Wait for Passive R	Occupy Passive F
1	Jupiter	manufacturing	2.5	0.49396	77.793	0.	
2	Jupiter	development	3.43	0.31925	106.133	0.	
3	Jupiter	administration	1.7	0.002703	103.025	0.	
4	Jupiter	test	0.73	0.09369	22.423	0.	

We now have respectable CPU headroom, and the response time for the development workload has improved, moving from 1.96 seconds to 0.32 seconds.

Development Response Time is 0.32 Seconds

Conclusion

TeamQuest is perhaps best known for expertise and leadership in the capacity planning and analytic modeling fields. New capabilities in TeamQuest Model further advance capacity planning techniques that simplify cross-platform migrations, allowing users to easily evaluate alternative vendor configurations.

For a demonstration of these new capabilities, please watch Principle Engineer David Burgart in the vidcast, **“Cross-platform Capacity Planning.”**

TeamQuest Corporation

www.teamquest.com

Americas

One TeamQuest Way
Clear Lake, Iowa 50428
USA
+1 641.357.2700
+1 800.551.8326
info@teamquest.com

Europe, Middle East and Africa

Box 1125
405 23 Gothenburg
Sweden
+46 (0)31 80 95 00
United Kingdom
+44 (0)1865 338031
Germany
+49 (0)69 6 77 33 466
emea@teamquest.com

Asia Pacific

Units 1001-4 10/F
China Merchants Bldg
152-155 Connaught Rd Central
Hong Kong, SAR
+852 3571-9950
asiapacific@teamquest.com

Copyright © 2008 TeamQuest Corporation
All Rights Reserved

TeamQuest and the TeamQuest logo are registered trademarks in the US, EU, and elsewhere. All other trademarks and service marks are the property of their respective owners. No use of a third-party mark is to be construed to mean such mark's owner endorses TeamQuest products or services.

The names, places and/or events used in this publication are purely fictitious and are not intended to correspond to any real individual, group, company or event. Any similarity or likeness to any real individual, company or event is purely coincidental and unintentional. NO WARRANTIES OF ANY NATURE ARE EXTENDED BY THE DOCUMENT. Any product and related material disclosed herein are only furnished pursuant and subject to the terms and conditions of a license agreement. The only warranties made, remedies given, and liability accepted by TeamQuest, if any, with respect to the products described in this document are set forth in such license agreement. TeamQuest cannot accept any financial or other responsibility that may be the result of your use of the information in this document or software material, including direct, indirect, special, or consequential damages.

You should be very careful to ensure that the use of this information and/or software material complies with the laws, rules, and regulations of the jurisdictions with respect to which it is used.

The information contained herein is subject to change without notice. Revisions may be issued to advise of such changes and/or additions. U.S. Government Rights. All documents, product and related material provided to the U.S. Government are provided and delivered subject to the commercial license rights and restrictions described in the governing license agreement. All rights not expressly granted therein are reserved.